

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,274	04/13/2005	Gert Andersson	2921-0149PUS1	5065	
2092 7590 09/15/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1791		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/15/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531,274 ANDERSSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mathieu D. Vargot 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/13 & 9/16/05. 6) Other: Office Action Summary Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20080910 Application/Control Number: 10/531,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabarot et al (see Figs. 7A and 7B).

Rabarot et al (Fig. 7B) discloses a method of forming a compact movable structure for a light shaping unit (mobile microlens 84) comprising the steps of forming the light shaping unit—lens-- from a material (80) deposited on a silicon carrier (86) and forming a micromechanical structure (opening or channel 88) from the carrier, the applied reference essentially lacking a clear teaching as to when the micromechanical structure is formed. Given that it is well known to etch structures from above or below elements. located on a substrate, and that the opening 88 is etched in the silicon substrate that is located just below the microlens, it is submitted prime facie obvious to have formed the light shaping unit before the forming of the opening 88 in Rabarot et al as specified in instant claim 1. Spinning the material that forms the light shaping unit onto the silicon substrate certainly would have been an obvious way to deposit it thereon as such is conventional in the art. While Rabarot et al forms the microlens lithographically, it is well know to make lenses through embossing and such would have been an obvious feature in lieu of lithographic processing dependent on mask and/or mold/die availability. Rabarot et al attaches an optical component (110) to the bottom side of the micromechanical structure (88) as set forth in instant claim 9.

Art Unit: 1791

2.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-

1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot

September 10, 2008

/Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791